

# Space Efficient Elephant Flow Detection

Ran Ben Basat  
Technion  
sran@cs.technion.ac.il

Gil Einziger  
Nokia Bell Labs  
sran@cs.technion.ac.il

Roy Friedman  
Technion  
roy@cs.technion.ac.il

## ABSTRACT

Identifying the large flows in terms of byte volume, known as *elephant flows*, is a fundamental capability that many network algorithms require. While optimal solutions that find the largest flows in terms of packet-count are known [5], constant update time algorithms for byte-volume were only recently discovered [1, 2]. Here, we propose an improved variant of the DIMSUM algorithm [2] that reduces the space requirement by 50% while allowing  $O(1)$  update time.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Networks → Network measurement;

## KEYWORDS

Network Measurement, Elephant Flows, Streaming

## ACM Reference Format:

Ran Ben Basat, Gil Einziger, and Roy Friedman. 2018. Space Efficient Elephant Flow Detection. In *SYSTOR '18: SYSTOR '18: International Systems and Storage Conference, June 4–7, 2018, HAIFA, Israel*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3211890.3211919>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Per-flow network monitoring is a fundamental building block in many networking applications such as load balancing, caching, and anomaly detection (see [3, 4] for an overview). As the number of flows is often huge, the space required for exact monitoring is too large for practical implementations. Instead, algorithms that provide approximate per-flow statistics are used.

## 2 PROBLEM DEFINITION

Consider a stream of packets  $\langle x_1, w_1 \rangle, \dots$  in which each packet is associated with a flow identifier  $x_i$  (e.g., 5-tuple) and a byte-size  $w_i$ . The byte-size of a flow  $x$  is defined as  $f_x \triangleq \sum_{x_i=x} w_i$  and the volume of the stream as  $V \triangleq \sum w_i$ . In the  $\epsilon$ -Elephant Flow problem, we process the stream and upon query for the size of a flow  $x$  we return an estimate  $\hat{f}_x$  that satisfies  $f_x \leq \hat{f}_x \leq f_x + V\epsilon$ .

## 3 RELATED WORK

The Elephant Flow problem was introduced by [6] which presented an algorithm that uses  $\epsilon^{-1}$  counters (which is known to be optimal)

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

*SYSTOR '18, June 4–7, 2018, HAIFA, Israel*

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5849-1/18/06...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3211890.3211919>

and has  $O(\log \epsilon^{-1})$  update time. Recently, [1, 2] proposed algorithms that use  $(1 + \gamma)\epsilon^{-1}$  counters, for some constant  $\gamma > 0$ , that has  $O(1)$  amortized update time. A constant worst case time solution (DIMSUM) was proposed in [2], but it requires  $(2 + \gamma)\epsilon^{-1}$  counters for some  $\gamma = \Omega(1)$ .

## 4 OUR ALGORITHM - DIMSUM++

We allocate  $(1 + \gamma)\epsilon^{-1}$  counters. We break the stream into *phases*, maintaining the invariant that at the beginning of each phase  $\gamma\epsilon^{-1}/2$  counters are unallocated. Let  $C_1$  denote the set of allocated counters at the beginning of an iteration and  $C_2$  denote the unallocated ones. During the first  $\gamma\epsilon^{-1}/4$  packets of the phase, we allocate a  $C_2$  counter for each arriving flow (even if he has one in  $C_1$ ). At the same time, we find the  $\gamma\epsilon^{-1}/2$  smallest counters in  $C_1$  (denoted  $C'_1$ ) using a selection algorithm, while deamortizing the process that such  $O(\gamma^{-1})$  operations are made at each update. While processing the next  $\gamma\epsilon^{-1}/4$  packets, we merge counters by summation such that at the end of the phase each flow has just one counter. Once again, we deamortize the process to get a  $O(\gamma^{-1})$  update time per packet. At the end of the phase, we set  $C_1 \leftarrow (C_1 \setminus C'_1 \cup C_2)$  and  $C_2 \leftarrow C'_1$ . That is, we make sure that the largest  $\epsilon^{-1}$  counters are never placed in  $C'_1$  (and thus,  $C_2$ ). Effectively, we consider the counters in  $C'_1$  as deleted and ready to be reallocated in the next phase. The analysis of DIMSUM shows that as long as the flows with the  $\epsilon^{-1}$  largest counters are never replaced, the procedure solves the Elephant Flow problem. Finally, picking  $\gamma$  to be a small constant (e.g., 5%) we get a constant worst case time algorithm with a near-optimal space.

## 5 CONCLUSION

Existing solutions for the Elephant Flow problem have either: (1) non-constant update time [6]; (2) only amortized constant update time [1, 2]; or (3) require more than twice the space [2]. DIMSUM++ improves the best known  $O(1)$  worst case solution by requiring half the space. Smaller memory footprint may allow the algorithm to be better cache resident in software implementations or fit into the router's SRAM in hardware ones.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel Anderson, Pryce Bevan, Kevin Lang, Edo Liberty, Lee Rhodes, and Justin Thaler. 2017. A High-performance Algorithm for Identifying Frequent Items in Data Streams. In *ACM IMC*.
- [2] Ran Ben Basat, Gil Einziger, Roy Friedman, and Yaron Kassner. 2017. Optimal elephant flow detection. In *IEEE INFOCOM*.
- [3] Ran Ben Basat, Gil Einziger, Roy Friedman, and Yaron Kassner. 2017. Randomized admission policy for efficient top-k and frequency estimation. In *IEEE INFOCOM*.
- [4] Ran Ben-Basat, Gil Einziger, Roy Friedman, and Yaron Kassner. 2016. Heavy Hitters in Streams and Sliding Windows. In *IEEE INFOCOM*.
- [5] Ahmed Metwally, Divyakant Agrawal, and Amr El Abbadi. 2005. Efficient Computation of Frequent and Top-k Elements in Data Streams. In *IN ICDDT*.
- [6] J. Misra and David Gries. 1982. Finding repeated elements. In *Science of Computer Programming*.